May 23, 2003

TO:	Internal File					
THRU:	Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor					
FROM:	Dana Dean, P.E./Senior Reclamation Hydrologist					
RE:	2002 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Plateau Mining Corporation, Willow Creek Mine, C/007/038-WQ02-1					
1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES NO Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:						
The Permittee reported No Access at sites B261, B262, B271, B341, B342, B351, B352, B361, B362, B41, B263, B353, B331, and B331A. The Permittee cited unsafe road conditions as the reason.						
The Permittee did not sample site B22-1 due to influence from surface runoff by adjacent snow melt.						
2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data. See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.						
	lue date The Willow Creek Mine is in reclamation and does not have any baseline water data scheduled.					
	equired parameters reported for each site? ents, including identity of monitoring site: NO					
	ermittee/lab inadvertently omitted dissolved manganese from the parameter suite B151 and total suspended solids from B151.					

P	age 2
C/007/038-W0	Q02-1
May 23,	2003

4.	Were irregularities found in the data? Comments, including identity of monitoring	site:	YES	NO 🗵		
5.	5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?					
		1 st month, 2 nd month, 3 rd month,	YES 🖂	NO \Boxed NO \Boxed NO \Boxed \Boxed S		
6.	Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:		YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
7.	7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:		YES	NO 🖂		
8.	8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?					
	No Further actions are necessary.					
O:\007038.WIL\WATER QUALITY\WQ_02-1.DOC						